How tight is the farmland supply in Iowa?
By Wendong Zhang, extension economist, 515-294-2536, wdzhang@iastate.edu

The past year did not offer much good news to producers, landowners, and agricultural professionals in general. Despite fleeting price rallies in the spring, the average corn and soybean prices received by Iowa farmers have been stagnant at $3.55 per bushel and $10.00 per bushel over the past few months. The U.S. Department of Agriculture also reported U.S. net farm income will drop 11.5 percent to $71.5 million in 2016, which represents the third straight annual pay cut for farmers and the lowest level since 2009. Meanwhile, U.S. agriculture continues to face a high U.S. dollar on the export market as well as potentially rising interest rates in the future.

These factors tend to put downward pressure on farmland markets. Recently, reports from USDA, Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, and the REALTORS Land Institute show a decline in Iowa’s farmland values over the past year, ranging from a 2 percent to 8 percent decline from September 2015 to September 2016. Farmland typically represents the single largest item in a farmer’s investment portfolio, and it warrants a closer look at the farmland market amid the multiyear downdrafts in commodity prices.

This article examines one critical aspect of the farmland market—farmland supply with a focus on Iowa. A better understanding of farmland supply will help landowners, farm managers, appraisers, and others concerned about the farm downturn gauge the current situation and future directions in the Iowa farmland market.

This article uses several data sources: the summary statistics on farmland sales especially public auction sales in Iowa from 2005 to 2015 collected by Mr. Jim Knuth, Senior Vice President at Farm Credit Services of America (FCSA); the monthly public land auction results published by Peoples Company since September 2015; and the annual sale activity index from the ISU Land Value Survey released every December. The author thanks all parties and companies who shared the data, especially Mr. Jim Knuth and FCSA. There are two things worth noting regarding our datasets: first, farmland sales are a reflection of farm supply which could be influenced by market fundamentals, e.g., a contractionary commodity or farmland market could lead to less farmland sales. Secondly, the datasets were collected by FCSA and Peoples Company, but they cover all farmland auctions across the state collected from over 140 auction companies.
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Using the sale activity index data from the ISU Land Value survey, Figure 1 shows how farmland sale activities evolved from 1986 to 2015. Agricultural professionals were asked whether they saw more, less, or the same number of sales in the last 12 months in their primary county compared to the same period a year ago. For example, in the November 2015 survey, 60 percent of the respondents reported less sales in 2015 relative to 2014, just 10 percent reported more sales and 30 percent reported the same level. Based on this data, we constructed a sale activity index as follows:

Sale Activity Index =
(% Reporting More Sales - % Reporting Less Sales) * 100 + 100

Graphically, a sale activity index below 100 – the dashed line shown in Figure 1 – indicates there are less sale activities and an index greater than 100 suggests more sales compared to a year ago. In addition, the higher the index, the more agricultural professionals saw an increase in sale activities. The solid line in Figure 1 shows that over the past three years, the farmland market has seen a continuous retraction in terms of sales activities. Figure 1 also overlays the sale activity index with the annual percentage change in Iowa land values. This reveals that farmland sale activities tend to fluctuate with the changes in land values: the stronger the land value growth, the more farmland sales. This pattern confirms our earlier conjecture that deteriorating market fundamentals tend to lead to less farmland sales.

Over the past 15 years, there were only 3 years that Iowa farmland market saw a decline: 2009, 2014, and 2015, and these declines were all associated with very low levels of sale activities – below 60. Even in the expansionary periods, the sale activity index tends to increase with an increase in land values, as shown in the early 2000s. In the 2015 ISU Land Value Survey, 77 percent of the respondents predicted Iowa land values will decline in 2016, compared to November 2015. With the Iowa farmland market continuing to move sideways, we expect to see a continuation of less farmland sales.

Figure 2 offers a closer look at the actual farmland transactions in recent years by showing the number of farmland public auctions by month since 2011. This data is compiled and shared by FCSA. This figure shows that the number of public auctions have decreased significantly in recent years from the high levels in 2011-2013. For example, the number of farmland sales in public auctions in November were almost cut in half from more than 200 in 2012 to 100 in 2015. There seems to be a seasonal pattern in farmland auctions: the farmland market is most active from August to November, and then slows down through the spring. This seasonal variability also diminishes when there are less sales overall, as in 2015 and 2016. The first eight months of this year are similar to 2015, and if the pattern holds, we will not likely see a substantial increase in farmland sale activities.
Public auctions only represent one type of all farmland sales. As a result, it is useful to examine the distribution of all sale types and the full farmland sale activities. Using data from FCSA, Figure 3 shows the distribution of farmland sales by all sales types in the past decade. Public auctions have been the chief mode when farmland is sold. Since 2011, public auctions accounted for 40-50 percent of all farmland sales in Iowa, followed by private party sales and sales facilitated by a realtor. It is interesting that the portion of public auctions increased from around 30 percent of all sales in 2006-2010 to more than 40 percent in 2011-2016. By dividing the number of public auctions by its percentage in all farmland sales from 2006 to 2015, we calculate the number of total farmland sales in Iowa, shown in Figure 4. Note that in 2015, there were about 1,500 farmland parcels sold in Iowa, including about 600 sold in public auctions. It not only represents the third consecutive year that Iowa farmland sales have decreased, but also marks the lowest year in terms of total numbers of farmland sold in Iowa in a decade.

It is often speculated that percent of auctions with no sales fluctuates with price trends; declining commodity and asset prices cause a rise in public auctions with no sales. Figure 5 shows the aggregate percentage of no sales in Iowa farmland auctions from 2005 to early 2016. This shows that in high corn price years of 2010-2012, the percent of no sales is lower, but the relationship is less evident than expected, which is possibly due to less farmland supply in general. However, there may be an increase in public auctions with no sales in the months ahead.

Next we examine the public auction farmland sales by land types using the monthly reports published by Peoples Company. Figures 6a and 6b show the total number and acres of auction
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sales by land types from September 2015 to August 2016. All farmland was split into four land categories: tillable, a combination of tillable land with CRP or pasture land, tillable and timber, and pasture or timber or CRP with no tillable acres. Figure 6a shows that in most months, tillable acres account for the majority of land sold in public auctions, followed by tillable/CRP/pasture and tillable/timber. The fluctuations in the number of public auction sales resembles the trends in the number of auctions of tillable acres. Figure 6b shows that the sales activity picked up in terms of total acreage available for sales in public auctions in August 2016, which might be consistent with the seasonal trends shown in Figure 2. A closer look at Figure 6b shows that farmland offered at auctions in August 2016 had greater acreage sizes than previous months, there was a 20 percent increase in the average acres per sale when compared to the previous three months. Data from available from early September 2016 shows a total of 3,449 acres were offered at auctions, that included 3,009 tillable acres.

Despite the prevalence of corn and soybeans across Iowa, not all districts are equal when it comes to commodity and farmland markets. The northern districts have a higher concentration of livestock and dairy production, while the southern districts have more pasture, CRP, and timber. Figure 7 examines the distribution and heterogeneity in farmland auction sales across all nine crop reporting districts in Iowa from 2006 to 2015. It seems that the relative percentage of crop reporting districts as of the entire state stayed fairly constant over the last decade. Northwest, West Central, Central, and Southeast have consistently accounted for more sales than other districts. 2015 reported a higher percent of public auction sales from the Northeast dairy district, and a slowdown in sale activity in North Central Iowa.
In summary, by looking at various aspects of farmland supply in Iowa in recent years, this article provides a historical perspective on the farmland supply and directions on where the market might go. With the expected stagnation in commodity prices and continuing declines in farmland values, the farmland supply will remain low and the public auctions will remain an important mode when it comes to farmland transactions. The distribution of farmland sales by regions and land use types seem stable over time. It remains to be seen whether there is a fall spike in farmland auctions, as there was in 2011 and 2013, in the months ahead.

Caution: cash flow problems ahead

By Steven D. Johnson, PhD, farm management specialist, 515-957-5790, sdjohns@iastate.edu

Ag lenders have reported large net equity losses for some row crop farms the past couple years. You can expect this trend to continue as lenders update farmers' balance sheets a few months from now. Many farms will have excess bushels of unpriced grain and may face running out of cash or time for cash prices to rise in order to pay down existing obligations.

Record 2016 Iowa and U.S. corn and soybean production and yields are forecast. Those extra bushels should benefit a farm's total crop revenue. However, a farmer who didn't look at managing futures price risk this past spring could run into cash flow problems ahead.

Pre-harvest marketing. Farmers who took advantage of forward contracting new crop bushels, hedging or buying put options this spring will avoid many cash flow concerns. However, those farms holding large quantities of unpriced crops could see cash flow challenges and may want to focus on their marketing strategies now. Perhaps they will have to make some local cash sales at harvest or deliver to a processor where better cash prices reflecting basis exist.

Work with your lender. If you know cash flow is already going to be a problem, communicate with your lender ahead of time. Many lenders spent the past couple of winters restructuring existing farm debt to stretch out principal payments and free up depleted working capital. These same lenders could be reluctant to restructure loans any time soon without commitment of the farmer to improve their cash flow management.

Visit Changing Farm Financial Conditions for resources on financial planning and stress management from Iowa State University Extension and Outreach.

These cash flow problems will likely appear in October with interest or penalties incurred for late property tax payments and crop insurance premiums. Farms without access to typical farm operating loans should avoid advancing family living and farm expenses on credit cards or higher interest-bearing debt.

USDA's Farm Service Agency (FSA) does offer a low-interest, 9-month non-recourse marketing loan on harvested grain; but FSA requires that the on-farm stored bushels be measured or the commercially stored grain is under warehouse receipt. This marketing loan is limited at the county loan rates, which are below the national loan rates of $1.95 per bushel for corn and $5.00 per bushel for soybeans.

Avoid long-term commercial storage. Waiting until after harvest for corn and soybean futures prices to rebound along with basis improvement may take several months. You can expect on-farm storage space will be tight this fall as harvest wraps up and basis remains abnormally wide. However, storing on-farm likely means lower costs for drying, shrink, and overall storage costs. Perhaps the greatest benefit of storing on-farm besides harvest efficiency is that it allows the farmer more time and improved chances to shop around for better cash prices reflected in basis.
Commercial storage space should be available at harvest, but basis will be limited as huge piles of corn on the ground appear at many elevators and cooperatives. Limitations of commercial storage costs and accruing interest on existing debt along with any short-term basis improvement negates many benefits for a positive net return to grain ownership until perhaps spring of 2017.

With more farms facing cash flow constraints this fall, they should consider the delivery of bushels at harvest. By communicating with the grain merchandiser in advance, you can still “stay long in the deferred futures” using a basis contract and/or a minimum price contract. Much of the actual cash price of the grain will be received upon delivery. Thus, you generate needed cash flow and eliminate storage costs, basis risk, and accrued interest. You still have futures price risk in those deferred contract months, so you’ll need to work with your grain merchandiser to “short futures” before that futures contract goes into delivery.

**Conclusion.** Cash flow is an underlying concern for many Iowa farms this fall. Unless a farm is self-financed, has access to credit or did an exceptional job of pre-harvest marketing new crop bushels, you can expect cash flow challenges to emerge this fall and early winter.

The ISU Extension and Outreach [Ag Decision Maker](https://agdm.extension.iastate.edu) website has a variety of resources for farm financial planning and stress management. Assistance can be provided in assessing a farm’s financial situation including one-on-one financial analysis and advice to help farmers with grain drying and shrink strategies. One-on-one financial counseling, a computerized analysis of the farm business, and referral to other ISU Extension and Outreach programs or outside services are also available.

---

**Internet Updates**

The following Information Files have been updated on [www.extension.iastate.edu/agdm](http://www.extension.iastate.edu/agdm).

- **Iowa Farm Financial Conditions in 2015** – C1-11 (15 pages)
- **Transferring Business Ownership** – C4-80 (4 pages)

**Current Profitability**

The following tools have been updated on [www.extension.iastate.edu/agdm/info/outlook.html](http://www.extension.iastate.edu/agdm/info/outlook.html).

- **Corn Profitability** – A1-85
- **Soybean Profitability** – A1-86
- **Iowa Cash Corn and Soybean Prices** – A2-11
- **Season Average Price Calculator** – A2-15
- **Ethanol Profitability** – D1-10
- **Biodiesel Profitability** – D1-15

---

---
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