



2009 Farm and Rural Life Poll: the next generation of farmers, continued from page 1

The motivation that received the highest rating was love of farming, with 80 percent of farmers indicating that it was either important or very important in their children’s decisions to become farmers (Table 1). Following in importance were quality of life considerations and having grown up wanting to farm. Seventy-two percent of farmers rated these factors as having been important or very important criteria in their children’s decisions to farm. Ability to be their own boss (68 percent), desire to stay close to home (56 percent), desire to carry on family tradition (55 percent), and family ability to help get them started (55 percent) were also rated as important or very important by a majority of Farm Poll participants.

Several reasons were seen as relatively unimportant. Lack of other options was viewed as the least important factor, with 70 percent of farmers indicating that this item was unimportant or not important at all in their children’s decisions to farm (Table 2). Fairly high percentages rated the following factors as unimportant or not important at all: family expectations to farm (58 percent), a spouse’s desire to farm (57 percent), low stress compared to other occupations (48 percent), and better income than other options (43 percent).

Taken together, these responses indicate that parents of children who farm believe that cultural and lifestyle factors weighed more heavily in their children’s decisions to farm than did economic criteria.

**Factors influencing children’s decisions to choose another career**

Having examined some of the reasons that influenced children’s choice to farm, we now turn to children who decided not to farm. Farm Poll participants with adult children who had not entered farming were asked to rate the importance of factors that may have motivated their children to select another occupation over farming.

In contrast to the factors influencing the decision to farm, most of the reasons that were rated as most important in the choice of a non-farm career were economic. The dominant reason, by far, was that other occupations provided better in-

come. Seventy-five percent of farmers indicated that this reason had been either important or very important in shaping their children’s decisions to go into a field other than farming (Table 3). Following in importance were inability to afford the necessary equipment, land, livestock and other factors of production (52 percent important or very important), high land rents (50 percent important or very important), high risk (45 percent important or very important), and low farm profits (43 percent important or very important). Among non-economic reasons, lack of interest in farming was the only one that approached 50 percent; 46 percent of farmers believed that lack of interest in farming was either important or very important in their children’s decision-making processes. Thirty-nine percent cited lack of interest on behalf of their children’s spouses as having played an important or very important role.

Other reasons are notable for their relative lack of importance in their children’s selection of non-farm professions. Conventional wisdom suggests that the level of manual labor involved in farming and the perceived isolation of rural life combine to discourage young people from entering farming. Farm Poll data do not support that view. Sixty-two percent of farmers cited that the labor demands of farming did not figure into their kids’ decisions not to farm, and 61 percent felt that disinterest in rural living was a non-factor (Table 3). Family expectations to find another occupation was also rated low on the importance scale, with 49 percent of farmers indicating that it was either unimportant or not important at all.

**Factors influencing participants’ decisions to choose farming**

A final set of questions focused on the Farm Poll participants themselves, and the factors that motivated them to choose farming as a career. Love of farming and quality of life topped the list, with 81 and 75 percent of participants expressing that these reasons had been either important or very important in their decisions to farm (Table 4). The ability to be their own boss and a desire to farm while growing up also figured prominently, with around three-quarters of

**Table 1. Factors influencing children’s decisions to farm: High importance**

	Not at All Important		Somewhat Important		Very Important
	—Percentage—				
Love of farming.....	2	2	17	29	51
Quality of life.....	2	2	24	36	36
Grew up wanting to farm .....	5	4	19	30	42
Could be their own boss .....	5	4	22	38	30
Desire to stay close to home .....	9	11	24	34	22
Desire to carry on family tradition .....	8	8	29	29	26

2009 Farm and Rural Life Poll: the next generation of farmers, continued from page 2

**Table 2. Factors influencing children’s decisions to farm: Low importance**

	Not at All Important	—Percentage—			Very Important
		Somewhat Important			
Lack of other options .....	45	25	21	7	3
Family expectations to farm.....	29	29	25	9	8
Their spouse wanted to farm.....	38	19	19	14	11
Farming is less stressful than other occupations.....	19	29	33	15	4
Better income than other options.....	16	27	37	14	6

respondents scoring these factors as important or very important. Somewhat less significant, but still rated important or very important by approximately half of participants, were a desire to stay close to home (56 percent), a desire to carry on family tradition (54 percent), and family ability to help get them started (48 percent).

Several factors were rated relatively low on the importance scale. Sixty-four percent of Farm Poll participants rated having a spouse who wanted to farm as unimportant or not at all important in their decision to choose farming. Similarly, the absence of options aside from farming and family expectations to farm were regarded as unimportant by over 50 percent of participants. Levels of stress and income relative to other occupations also ranked low on the importance scale, with 48 and 44 percent of Farm Poll participants indicating that these factors had little influence over their choice of farming as an occupation.

On the whole, results suggest that for those individuals who chose farming as their career, cultural and lifestyle factors were the predominant reasons underlying that choice.

Whether regarding their own decisions to farm, or their children’s decisions, love of farming and quality of life issues were fundamental. On the other hand, for those children who did not choose to farm, parents’ assessments clearly point to economic factors as the most important decision criteria, whether in the form of economic barriers to farm entry or better income opportunities elsewhere.

**Programs to support beginning farmers**

Beginning farmers face numerous challenges as they build their farm operations, and there are a number of organizations and programs that can help them to pursue their farming goals. We asked farmers to assess the need for several current and potential programs. Support was found to be strong for all of these initiatives, but especially so for programs that specifically target beginning farmers. Over 80 percent of farmers rated the expansion of loan programs for beginning farmers and programs that link beginning farmers with retiring farmers as either needed or critically needed (Table 5). Large percentages of farmers also indicated that mentoring programs that connect beginning farm families with established farm families (77 percent),

**Table 3. Factors influencing children’s decisions to choose another career**

	Not at All Important	—Percentage—			Very Important
		Somewhat Important			
Other occupations provided better income.....	7	4	13	25	50
They could not afford to buy the necessary equipment, land, crop inputs, livestock, etc.....	20	10	19	15	37
Land rents were too high .....	24	10	16	20	30
They were not interested in farming .....	21	12	22	19	27
There is too much risk in farming .....	21	11	23	21	24
Rental land was not available.....	26	11	18	15	30
Farm profits are too low.....	22	10	25	17	26
Their spouse was not interested in farming.....	32	11	17	14	25
Family expectations to find another occupation .....	32	17	25	14	12
They were not interested in living in the country .....	44	17	18	11	10
Farming requires too much manual labor.....	40	22	19	10	9

continued on page 4

2009 Farm and Rural Life Poll: the next generation of farmers, continued from page 3

expansion of beginning farmer tax credit programs (76 percent), outreach programs that link absentee landowners with beginning farmers (75 percent), and succession planning assistance for established farmers (74 percent) are needed or critically needed.

Potential initiatives that were not specific to beginning farmers also received high levels of endorsement as either needed or critically needed: farmer-led value-added agriculture initiatives (75 percent), development of markets for alternative crops (73 percent), and training in the production and marketing of non-traditional crops (65 percent). Overall,

these results point to overwhelming support for a broad array of beginning farmer programs.

**Survey information**

Iowa State University Extension, the Iowa Agriculture and Home Economics Experiment Station, and the Iowa Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship are all partners in the Farm Poll effort. The information gathered through the Farm Poll is used to inform the development and improvement of research and extension programs and is used by local, state and national leaders in their decision-making processes. We thank the many farmers who responded to this

**Table 4. Factors influencing Farm Poll participants' decisions to choose farming**

	Not at All Important		Somewhat Important		Very Important
	—Percentage—				
Love of farming.....	2	3	14	26	55
Quality of life.....	3	3	20	31	44
Could be my own boss .....	4	4	17	34	41
Grew up wanting to farm .....	4	6	15	25	49
Desire to stay close to home .....	10	10	24	29	27
Desire to carry on family tradition.....	12	11	23	21	33
My family was able to help me get started .....	23	9	20	24	24
Family expectations to farm.....	36	20	22	14	9
Farming is less stressful than other occupations.....	23	25	32	14	7
My spouse wanted to farm .....	47	17	17	11	8
Better income than other options.....	17	27	39	11	6
Lack of other options .....	41	20	25	9	5

**Table 5. Need for beginning farmer support programs**

	Not Needed	Uncertain	Needed	Critically Needed
	—Percentage—			
Expanded beginning farmer loan programs .....	7	10	46	37
Programs that link beginning farmers with retiring farmers .....	7	11	50	32
Mentoring programs that connect beginning farm families with established farm families .....	10	14	55	22
Expanded beginning farmer tax credit programs.....	10	14	48	28
Outreach programs that link absentee landowners with beginning farmers.....	11	14	50	25
Support for farmer-led value-added agriculture initiatives.....	9	16	52	23
Succession planning assistance for established farmers.....	11	14	50	24
Development of markets for alternative crops .....	10	17	48	25
Training programs on producing and marketing non-traditional crops for farmers' markets, restaurants, grocery stores, and institutions.....	17	19	48	17

continued on page 5

2009 Farm and Rural Life Poll: the next generation of farmers, continued from page 4

year's survey and appreciate their continued participation in the Farm Poll.

**Who participates?**

The 2009 Farm Poll questionnaires were mailed in January and February to a statewide panel of 2,201 farm operators. Usable surveys were received from 1,268 farmers, resulting in a 58 percent response rate. On average, Farm Poll participants were 64 years old, and had been farming for 39 years. Fifty percent of farmers reported that farm income made up more than half of their overall 2008 household income, and an additional 20 percent earned between 26 and 50 percent of their household income from farming. This report summa-

rizes the results of the 2009 survey.

Copies of this or any other year's reports are available from your local county Extension office, the Extension Distribution Center ([www.extension.iastate.edu/store](http://www.extension.iastate.edu/store)), Extension Sociology ([www.soc.iastate.edu/extension/farmpoll.html](http://www.soc.iastate.edu/extension/farmpoll.html)), or from the authors.

*\*Reprinted with permission from the Iowa Farm and Rural Life Poll, 2009 Summary Report, PM 2093. Renea Miller provided valuable layout assistance to the questionnaire and this report. The Iowa Department of Land Stewardship, Division of Statistics, assisted in the data collection.*



**2010 Iowa farm custom rate survey available**

by William Edwards, extension economist, 515-294-6161, [wedwards@iastate.edu](mailto:wedwards@iastate.edu)

The 2010 Iowa Farm Custom Rate Survey followed the recent trend of small, but consistent annual increases in rates. Most tillage operations showed increases of one to two percent over the average rates in the 2009 survey, while harvesting rates were up slightly less than that. Some operations showed no change or a slight decrease from the 2009 survey.

The values reported on the survey are simply the average of all the responses received for each category. The range of the highest and lowest responses received is also reported. Values are rates expected to be charged or paid, including fuel and labor. These values are intended only as a guide. There are many reasons why the rate charged in a particular situation should be above or below the average. These include the timeliness with which operations are performed, quality and special features of the machine, operator skill, size and shape of fields, number of acres contracted, and the condition of the crop for harvesting. The availability of custom operators in a given area will also affect rates.

A total of 187 people responded to this year's survey. Of this group, 30 percent indicated that they performed custom work, 18 percent indicated that they hired work done, and 52 percent indicated that they did both. New in the 2010 survey is information on straw or corn stalk baling listed both with and without wrap. The average price for diesel fuel was assumed to be \$2.25 per gallon. Machinery rental rates can be estimated on the back of the survey publication.

The Ag Decision Maker offers a Decision Tool to help custom operators and other farmers estimate their own costs for specific machinery operations. The Machinery Cost Calculator (file A3-29) can be found under Crops, then Machinery in the Ag Decision Maker table of contents.

The 2010 Iowa Farm Custom Rate Survey is available at ISU Extension county offices or online as publication FM-1698 from the ISU Extension online store, or as *Information File A3-10, Iowa Farm Custom Rate Survey*, on the Ag Decision Maker website.

**Average Farm Custom Rates Reported for Iowa**

Operation	1978	1988	1998	2010
Chisel plowing, per acre	\$6.00	\$8.40	\$9.65	\$13.30
Planting, per acre	\$4.40	\$6.80	\$8.85	\$14.20
Spraying, per acre	\$2.40	\$3.50	\$4.00	\$6.10
Combining corn, per acre	\$16.20	\$22.00	\$23.40	\$29.90
Combining soybeans, per acre	\$14.00	\$20.60	\$22.55	\$28.70
Baling square bales, per bale	\$.21	\$.29	\$.36	\$.50
Custom farming, corn, per acre	\$58.00	\$71.00	\$75.80	\$102.40
Custom farming, soybeans, per acre	\$50.00	\$65.00	\$70.65	\$91.05
Machinery operating wage, per hour	\$3.50	\$5.10	\$7.20	\$11.70

Source:  
Iowa State University, Iowa Farm Custom Rate Surveys, FM-1698.

Updates, continued from page 1

**Internet Updates**

The following updates have been added on [www.extension.iastate.edu/agdm](http://www.extension.iastate.edu/agdm).

**Historical Corn Yields by County – A1-12 (10 pages)**

**Historical Soybean Yields by County – A1-13 (10 pages)**

**Livestock Enterprise Budgets for Iowa – B1-21 (22 pages)**

**LGM Dairy - A Risk Management Tool for Milk Producers – B1-53 (3 pages)**

**Leasing Arrangements and Self-employment (Social Security) Tax – C2-41 (2 pages)**

**Financial Terms – C3-05 (10 pages)**

**Transportation Terms – C3-06 (2 pages)**

**How to Use Grants – C5-08 (3 pages)**

**Setting Your Price – C5-17 (6 pages)**

**Product Marketing Terms – C5-14 (7 pages)**

**Value-added Business Success Factors: The Role of Investor Attitudes and Expectations – C5-181 (2 pages)**

**Value-added Business Success Factors: The Role of Financial Structure and Performance – C5-182 (2 pages)**

**Value-added Business Success Factors: Strategic Planning and Implementation – C5-183 (2 pages)**

**Value-added Business Success Factors: Organizational Issues – C5-184 (2 pages)**

**Decision Tools and Current Profitability**

The following tools have been added or updated on [www.extension.iastate.edu/agdm](http://www.extension.iastate.edu/agdm).

**SURE Payment Calculator – A1-44**

**Biodiesel Profitability – D1-15**

**Season Average Price Calculator – A2-15**

**Returns for Farrow-to-Finish – B1-30**

**Corn Profitability – A1-85**

**Returns for Weaned Pigs – B1-33**

**Soybean Profitability – A1-86**

**Returns for Steer Calves – B1-35**

**Ethanol Profitability – D1-10**

**Returns for Yearling Steers – B1-35**

**... and justice for all**

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, and marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Many materials can be made available in alternative formats for ADA clients. To file a complaint of discrimination, write

USDA, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call 202-720-5964. Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, Acts of May 8 and July 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Jack M. Payne, director, Cooperative Extension Service, Iowa State University of Science and Technology, Ames, Iowa.

**Permission to copy**

Permission is given to reprint ISU Extension materials contained in this publication via copy machine or other copy technology, so long as the source (Ag Decision Maker Iowa State University Extension ) is clearly identifiable and the appropriate author is properly credited.