www.extension.iastate.edu/agdm

Hay Storage Options: How Do They Stack Up?

Thy work hard and spend money to produce a quality product and then throw a quarter of it away? That is what many producers do by not investing in quality storage options for their harvested hay.

Various options exist for storing hay bales, be they small squares, large squares, or round bales. The lowest cost alternative is simply leaving them on bare ground, with no covering. However, some researchers have found that bales can lose as much as 30 or 40 percent of their dry matter after just six months when stored this way (see the table of research results at the end of this article).

Ground Covers

If bales are stored on bare ground, they should at least be on a slope that is well-drained. A fairly low-cost option is to spread a layer of crushed rock or gravel on the surface area where bales will be stored. This will reduce the amount of moisture that seeps into the bales over time. An even better base can be provided by arranging used wooden pallets. These not only form a moisture barrier, they also allow air to circulate under the bales, reducing storage losses by two-thirds or more. The cost of pallets can vary widely, depending on the source.

Top Covers

Bales can be protected even further by covering them with a plastic tarp. This choice is more economical when bales can be stacked several layers high. Uncovered bales should not be stacked, however, as this prevents water from running away from them and keeps them from drying out. Low cost plastic requires a minimal investment, but may not be reusable. More costly thick plastic or canvas tarps can be used for

multiple years. Properly positioning and fastening a large tarp may require two or three individuals working together.

Individual covers have become more economical and more popular in recent years. Bales wrapped with plastic netting or sleeves shed water better than those wrapped only with twine. Plastic bags do a very good jobs of preserving hay quality, but require an investment in bagging equipment. They are more expensive, but may be cost-effective for very high quality forage. Bale wraps and bags generally can be used only once, however, and create a disposal problem.

Storage Buildings

For higher quality hay investing in permanent storage facilities may be the most economical choice in the long run, when reduced spoilage losses are taken into account. Storage structures can range from refurbishing an existing barn or shed, to erecting a pole barn with a roof but no sides, to constructing a completely enclosed, new building. These options involve a higher initial cost, so should be undertaken only when a consistent volume of hay is likely to be produced over a longer period of time.

The cost of buildings for hay storage depends on the interest rate associated with the initial capital investment and the expected life of the structure. The Farm Service Agency offers loans at below market interest rates, currently under three percent annually, for hay storage structures. Maintenance costs should be minimal, especially in the early years. Existing buildings often can be refurbished at a very low cost. However, they may offer less convenience for getting bales into and out of storage.

Page 2 File A2-37

Other Considerations

Labor requirements will vary widely by system. Simply moving bales to the edge of the field and dropping them on a surface requires a minimal amount of labor. Covering them with a tarp will add some more time. Moving bales to a storage building and stacking them inside will require the most labor, and the effort will be duplicated when they are removed. What value to put on the producer's own labor is arbitrary and may depend on what other activities need to be performed during the forage harvesting season.

Livestock producers who need a certain quantity of hay each year to meet their animals' nutritional needs have an extra consideration. Storage systems with a high dry matter loss will require them to devote extra acres to hay production to meet the needs of their herd or flock, adding extra production costs.

Decision Tools Available

At least two spreadsheets are available for analyzing the costs of various hay storage options. The Ag Decision Maker website maintained by Iowa State University Extension and Outreach offers "Hay Storage Cost Comparison," which compares up to eight storage choices. It takes into account the annual costs for covers and labor as well as the initial cost of surfaces and buildings. It also factors in the value of storage losses, to compute an overall storage cost for each system. In addition, the user can specify the annual forage needs for the farm or ranch, and the spreadsheet will calculate the total cost of production for meeting that need after adjusting for spoilage loss. This spreadsheet can be downloaded at: www. extension.iastate.edu/agdm/decisionaidscd.html. An example analysis is shown at the end of this article. Users should input values for their own situations as much as possible, however.

Dr. Brian Holmes of the University of Wisconsin Extension Team Forage has developed a slightly different spreadsheet called "Comparing Round Bale Storage Costs". It compares storing hay on bare ground, a macadam surface and wooden pallets, with and without cover, as well as in a storage building. Storage periods of both six months and twelve months are analyzed. Look for a link to this spreadsheet under the Hay Storage Sizing and Management section of the Team Forage website at: http://fyi.uwex.edu/forage/harvest/.

References

More complete information about the economics of hay storage can be found in the following sources:

Round Hay Bale Storage. Raymond L. Huhnke, Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service publication BAE-1716. http://pods.dasnr.okstate.edu/docushare/dsweb/Get/Rendition-6342/BAE-1716web.pdf

Hay Storage Sizing & Management. Team Forage, University of Wisconsin Extension. http://fyi.uwex.edu/forage/harvest/#econ

Hay Storage and Feeding Management. Bob Schultheis, University of Missouri Extension http://extension.missouri.edu/webster/documents/presentations/2014-03-20
RegionalHaySchool/2014-03-20 Hay Storage and Feeding Management-BobSchultheis-print. pdf

File A2-37 Page 3

Summary of research results on hay storage losses: percent of dry matter lost after 6 months of storage

| | On Bare Ground | On Gravel or Pallets | | On Bare | Inside a | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------|---------|----------|-------|----------|--|
| Source | No Cover | No cover | Covered | Tarp | Wraps | Roof | Building | |
| Michigan State U. 1993 | 35% | 30% | | 15% | 23% | | 12% | |
| Penn State U. 1992 | 15-40% | | | | | | 4% | |
| Iowa State U. 1996 | 10-25% | 11% | | | | | 5% | |
| U. of Georgia | 50% | 35% | 14% | 10% | | | 4% | |
| Journal Production Ag. 1993 | | | | | | | | |
| Anderson et al 1981 | 14% | | | | | | 3% | |
| Belyea et al 1985 | 15% | | | 6% | | | 2% | |
| Verma & Nelson 1983 | 28-40% | | | 12% | 11% | | 2-9% | |
| Atwal et al 1984 | 40% | | | 30% | | | 9% | |
| Baxter 1986 | 33-35% | | | | | | 3-7% | |
| U. Wisconsin (Holmes) | 9.5% | 8% | 4% | | | | 2% | |
| Oklahoma State (Huhnke) | 5-20% | 3-15% | 2-4% | 5-10% | | 2-5% | 2% | |
| U. Wisconsin (Saxe, 2007) | 5-61% | 3-46% | 2-17% | | 4-8% | 2-10% | | |
| West Va. U. (Rayburn) | 7-61% | 28-39% | 5-10% | | | | | |
| Average | 27% | 22% | 8% | 13% | 13% | 5% | 5% | |

File A2-37 Page 4

Hay Storage Cost Comparison

Ag Decision Maker -- Iowa State University Extension and Outreach
For information on hay costs, see Information File A2-37, Hay Storage Options: How Do They Stack Up?

Place the cursor over cells with red triangles to read comments.

Enter your input values in the unprotected, shaded cells.

| General Information | <u>Unit</u> | |
|---|-------------|---------|
| Acres of hay produced annually | acres | 200 |
| Average yield, total for all cuttings | tons/acre | 4.5 |
| Width of bale | feet | 5 |
| Length or diameter of bale | feet | 6 |
| Average weight of bale | pounds | 1,250 |
| Tons of hay needed to meet annual needs | tons/year | 750 |
| | | |
| Value of hay at harvest, on farm | \$/ton | \$125 |
| Estimated cost of production for hay | \$/ton | \$90 |
| Long term interest rate for building investment | % | 3% |
| Value of labor used for storing hay | \$/hour | \$15.00 |
| | | |



| Type of storage | | Bare ground, no cover | Outside, on gravel, no cover | Outside, on gravel, under tarp | Outside, bare ground, under tarp | Outside, on bare ground, net wraps | Under roof, no sides | Inside, new building | Inside, existing building |
|--|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Storage Loss | % | 27% | 22% | 8% | 13% | 13% | 8% | 5% | 5% |
| Outside Storage | | | | | | | | | |
| Initial cost of gravel base or pallets, per square foot | \$/sq. foot | | \$0.80 | \$0.80 | | | | | |
| Expected years of life for gravel base or pallets | years | | 5 | 5 | | | | | |
| Cost of plastic tarps, per square foot | \$ | | | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.20 | | | | |
| Expected years of life for covering | years | | | 4 | 4 | | | | |
| Cost for plastic bale wraps, each | \$/wrap | | | | | \$ 1.00 | | | |
| Inside Storage | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction cost of new building, per square foot | \$/sq. foot | | | | | | \$ 2.50 | \$7.00 | |
| Expected years of life of new building | years | | | | | | 30 | 30 | |
| Approximate value of existing building, per square foot | \$/sq. foot | | | | | | | | \$ 2.00 |
| Repair and maintenance rate, annual | % of value | | | | | | 1.0% | 2.0% | |
| Property tax and insurance rate, annual | % of value | | | | | | 1.5% | 1.5% | 1.5% |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Information | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of layers of stacked bales | layers | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Total labor needed for storing, covering, and uncovering | hours/year | 60 | 60 | 80 | 80 | 70 | 150 | 200 | 250 |

| Cost Comparison | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|------------|------------------|
| | | | | Outside, on | Outside, bare | Outside, on bare | | | |
| | | Bare ground, no | Outside, on | gravel, under | ground, under | ground, net | Under roof, no | | Inside, existing |
| Type of storage | Unit | | gravel, no cover | tarp | tarp | wraps | sides | building | building |
| Tons of hay harvested per year | tons/year | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| Number of bales stored per year | bales/year | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 |
| Storage area neededsquare feet | square feet | 45,360 | 45,360 | 15,120 | 15,120 | 45,360 | 11,340 | 15,120 | 22,680 |
| Initial investment for building | \$ | | | | | | \$ 28,350 | \$ 105,840 | |
| Initial investment for gravel or pallets for storage site | \$ | | 36,288 | 12,096 | - | | | | |
| Ownership cost per year for building | \$/year | | | | | | 1,866 | 8,026 | 3,402 |
| Ownership cost per year for storage site | \$/year | | 7,802 | 2,601 | - | | | | |
| Labor cost for storage per year | \$/year | 900 | 900 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,050 | 2,250 | 3,000 | 3,750 |
| Cost of coverings per year | \$/year | | | 765 | 765 | 1,440 | | | |
| Total cost per year for storage | \$/year | \$ 900 | \$ 8,702 | | \$ 1,965 | | | | \$ 7,152 |
| Value of spoilage and dry matter loss | \$/year | \$ 30,375 | | | \$ 14,625 | | | \$ 5,625 | \$ 5,625 |
| Total cost for storage including storage loss | \$/year | \$ 31,275 | \$ 33,452 | \$ 13,566 | \$ 16,590 | \$ 17,115 | \$ 13,116 | \$ 16,651 | \$ 12,777 |
| Tons of hay available for feeding or selling | tons/year | 657 | 702 | 828 | 783 | 783 | 828 | 855 | 855 |
| Total cost for storage, incl. storage loss, per ton avail. for | \$/ton | \$ 47.60 | \$ 47.65 | \$ 16.38 | \$ 21.19 | \$ 21.86 | \$ 15.84 | \$ 19.48 | \$ 14.94 |
| Value of hay available to feed minus cost of storage | \$/year | \$ 81,225 | \$ 79,048 | \$ 98,934 | \$ 95,910 | \$ 95,385 | \$ 99,384 | \$ 95,849 | \$ 99,723 |
| Acres of hay needed to meet annual needs | acres | 1,027 | 962 | 815 | 862 | 862 | 815 | 789 | 789 |
| Total cost to meet annual hay needs | \$/year | \$ 93,493 | \$ 95,835 | \$ 77,505 | \$ 79,468 | \$ 79,971 | \$ 77,098 | \$ 80,725 | \$ 77,326 |

Iowa State University Extension and Outreach does not discriminate on the basis of age, disability, ethnicity, gender identity, genetic information, marital status, national origin, pregnancy, race, religion, sex, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, or status as a U.S. veteran. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Inquiries regarding non-discrimination policies may be directed to Ross Wilburn, Diversity Officer, 2150 Beardshear Hall, 515 Morrill Road, Ames, Iowa 50011, 515-294-1482, wilburn@iastate.edu.